On the Second Order Reactions in Heterogeneous Catalysis

R. TARTARELLI AND 8. CIONI

Istituto di Chimica Industriale ed Applicata dell'Università, Pisa, Italy

AND

M. CAPOVANI

Istituto di Elaborazione della Informazione de1 C.N.R., Piss, Italy

Received October 31, 1969

Isothermal second order reactions of the type $p \rightarrow A \rightarrow$ products and $A + B \rightarrow$ products are investigated in the macro-micro-pore catalyst model. Effectiveness factors, calculated by a computer, are reported and related to a generalized Thiele modulus. Equations concerning the falsification of activation energy and order of process under diffusion effect conditions are given.

INTRODUCTION

The second order isothermal reactions and the related importance of intraparticle diffusion in porous catalyst appeared in the classical paper of Thiele (I). Successively, the influence of volume change on the kinetics has been discussed by Weekman and Gorring (2), nonisothermal cases have been solved by Tinkler and Metzner (3) , Carberry (4) , and Petersen (5) and a second order reaction involving two reactants has been treated by Maymo' and Cunningham (6). The second order reactions are, moreover, particular types of the Langmuir-Hinshelwood reactions, the treatment of which, in presence of diffusion limitation, has been given by Roberts and Satterfield (7).

In the cited references, the diffusion during catalysis is characterized by an experimentally determined diffusivity and a simple pore structure is assumed (single-pore model). The present paper pertains to the problem of simultaneous reaction and diffusion for a second order kinetic law in the macro-micro-pore model. The last has been introduced to represent catalyst pills containing macropores created via pelleting of porous microspheres and may be visualized by a cylindrical pore of radius r^+ (macropore) from which micropores of radius $r < r^*$ branch along the length and at right angle to the macropore $(8-10)$. Knudsen diffusion and molecular diffusion may be considered prevailing in the micropores and in the macropore respectively.

In the macro-micro-pore model the catalytic effectiveness and selectivity have been analyzed by Mingle and Smith (8) , Carberry (9, 10) and Tartarelli and Morelli (11) for first order reactions, Tartarelli et al. (12) for zero order reactions; the falsification of activation energy and order of process under diffusion effect conditions has been given by Tartarelli *et al.* (13–16) for second order reactions.

The derivations that follow are based upon the following assumptions:

1. The catalyst pellet is at uniform temperature.

2. The irreversible second order reactions ν A \rightarrow products and A + B \rightarrow products occur without volume change.

3. Significant reaction occurs only in the micropores, i.e., the surface of the macropore is assumed entirely covered by micropores.

SECOND ORDER REACTIONS 213

NOTATION

Greek Letters

I. $vA \rightarrow Products$

Effectiveness factor. The governing equation in reduced dimensions for the micro-
pore of length l is:

$$
\frac{d^2a}{d\rho^2} = m^2a^2,\tag{1}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\rho = 0
$$
 $a = a^{+}$, $\rho = 1$ $da/d\rho = 0$.

Basic Equations In the macropore of length l^+ the material balance equation becomes:

$$
\frac{d^2a^+}{d\rho^{+2}} = 2\theta^2 \left(-\frac{da}{d\rho} \right)_{\rho=0},\tag{2}
$$

with boundary conditions

(1)
$$
\rho^+ = 0
$$
 $a^+ = 1$, $\rho^+ = 1$ $da^+ / d\rho^+ = 0$.

In Eqs. (1) and (2) $m \equiv (2 K[A]_0/r D_A)^{1/2} l$ is the micropore diffusion-reaction modulus and $\theta \equiv [(D_A/D_A^*) (l^{2}/r^{2}l)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the geostructure. The micropore diffusivity/macro- generalized Thiele
pore diffusivity ratio may be related to the micro-pore model. pore diffusivity ratio may be related to the micro-pore model.
micropore and macropore radius by the In terms of Ω' the effectiveness factor micropore and macropore radius by the Wheeler's equation (17). becomes:

$$
D = D_o(1 - e^{-2(\text{pore radius})/\lambda})
$$

where D_o is the ordinary diffusivity for bulk gas.

In strong pore diffusion regimes, i.e., the reactant concentration drops to a very small value, approximately zero, at the pore-ends, by applying the asymptotic method (5) to the Eqs. (1) and (2) , we obtain:

$$
-\left(\frac{da^{+}}{d\rho^{+}}\right)_{\rho^{+}=0} = \left(\frac{128}{75}\right)^{1/4} m^{1/2}\theta, \qquad (3)
$$

and the effectiveness factor, which is defined as

metric modulus of the macro-micro-pore From Eq. (5) Ω' can be assumed as the structure. The micropore diffusivity/macro- generalized Thiele modulus for the macro-

$$
\eta' = \left(\frac{75}{32}\right)^{1/3} \frac{-(da^+/d\rho^+)_{{\rho^+}=0}}{\Omega'^{1/4}\theta^{2/3}}.
$$
 (7)

The system of differential equations (1) and (2) has been solved by the IBM 7090 digital computer and values of the effectiveness factor have been reported in Table 1 against the generalized Thiele modulus for different values of θ . The effectiveness factor for the second order reaction is compared with the effectiveness factor for the first order reaction. In the last case the analytic solution is quite elementary, given by:

$$
\mathbf{n} = \frac{\left[\tanh\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{2\theta^2}\right)^{1/3}\right]^{1/2} \tanh\left\{(2\Omega\theta^2)^{1/3}\right] \tanh\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{2\theta^2}\right)^{1/3}\right]^{1/2}}{\Omega},\tag{8}
$$

$$
\eta' \equiv \frac{-(da^+/d\rho^+)_{\rho^+=0}}{2m^2\theta^2},\tag{4}
$$

results

$$
\eta' = \Omega'^{-1},\tag{5}
$$

where

$$
\Omega' \equiv \left(\frac{75}{8}\right)^{1/4} m^{3/3}\theta. \tag{6}
$$

$$
\Omega \equiv 2^{1/2} \mathbf{m}^{3/2} \theta, \text{ with } \mathbf{m} \equiv \left(\frac{2K}{rD_A}\right)^{1/2} l. \quad (9)
$$

 $\eta' = \Omega'^{-1}$, (5) The chemical and strong pore diffusion regimes in the single-pore model are separated by an intermediate regime which drops in the range 0.5-5 of the generalized Thiele modulus (5) . In this region the

TABLE 1

EFFECTIVENESS FACTOR VS. GENERALIZED THIELE MODULUS FOR THE REACTION $\nu A \to X$				
--	--	--	--	--

functions effectiveness factor vs. generalized Thiele modulus for first and second order reactions show the greatest difference being approximately 5%. From Table 1 it results that also in the macro-micro-pore model the functions effectiveness factor vs. generalized Thiele modulus for first and second order reactions follow one another quite closely and the greatest difference is about 10% for $\theta > 50$ in the intermediate range of the generalized Thiele modulus.

Apparent kinetics. The apparent kinetics consists of the apparent activation energy and order of process, which are defined as:

$$
E'_{\rm app} \equiv -\frac{\partial \ln \phi'}{\partial (1/R_g T)}, \qquad (10)
$$

and and

$$
n'_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{app}} = \frac{\partial \ln \phi'}{\partial \ln[\mathbf{A}]_0},\tag{11}
$$

where ϕ' is the rate of disappearing of reactant A per unit catalyst surface, given by

$$
\phi' = K\eta'[\mathbf{A}]_0^2. \tag{12}
$$

From Eqs. (10) , (11) , and (12) we obtain:

$$
\frac{E'_{\text{app}}}{E_r} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \frac{E_d}{E_r} \right) \frac{\partial \ln \eta'}{\partial \ln \Omega'}, \quad (13)
$$

and

$$
n'_{A,\text{app}} = 2 + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\partial \ln \eta'}{\partial \ln \Omega'}
$$
 (14)

where E_r is the reaction activation energy from the Arrhenius' law and E_d is the diffusion activation energy (the dependence of D_A/D_A^+ on temperature is assumed negligible).

By substituting $\frac{3}{4}$ ($\partial \ln \frac{\eta'}{\partial \ln \Omega'}$) from Eq. (13) into Eq. (14) for $E_d/E_r \neq 1$ (practically $E_d \ll E_r$), the equation common to isothermal second order processes (15, 18) is derived:

$$
n'_{\text{A,app}} = 2 + \left(\frac{E'_{\text{app}}}{E_r} - 1\right) / \left(1 - \frac{E_d}{E_r}\right).
$$
\n(15)

From Eq. (5) the strong pore diffusion regimes are characterized by the simple relations:

$$
\frac{E'_{\rm app}}{E_r} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{E_d}{E_r},\tag{16}
$$

and

$$
n'_{\mathbf{A},\mathrm{app}}=\tfrac{5}{4}.
$$

In diffusion limited regimes, the macromicro-pore model gives, for $E_d/E_r \simeq 0$, lower values of both apparent activation energy and order of process if compared with the single-pore model. In the last case we have:

(Apparent activation energy of process)

$$
E_r = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{E_d}{E_r}, \quad (17)
$$

Apparent order of process $=\frac{3}{3}$. (18)

II. $A + B \rightarrow$ Products

Effectiveness factor. As the molar flux of reactant A at the steady state must be equal to the molar flux of the reactant B from the stoichiometry of reaction, the following equations relate the concentration of B to that of A in micropore and macropore:

$$
b = \gamma a + b_0 - \gamma, \qquad (19)
$$

$$
b^+ = \gamma a^+ + b_0 - \gamma, \qquad (20)
$$

where $b_0 \equiv [B]_0/[A]_0$ and $\gamma \equiv D_A/D_B =$ D_A^{μ} / D_B^{μ} is the ratio of diffusivity of reactants, assumed constant in both micropore and macropore. If $b_0 = \gamma$, the reaction rate equation is quite equivalent to that above discussed and in strong pore diffusion regimes the concentration of both reactants drops to zero at the pore-ends. Because the reactant A has been taken as a reference in the Eqs. (19) and (20), the general case of a second order process involving two reactants must 'be treated with the assumption of $b_0 > \gamma$. That gives b, $b^+ > 0$ at $a, a^+ =$ 0 and the controlling regime may be based upon the limiting reactant A.

The material balance equations in the micropore and in the macropore are, respectively:

$$
\frac{d^2a}{d\rho^2} = m^2a(\gamma a + b_0 - \gamma), \qquad (21)
$$

$$
\frac{d^2a^+}{d\rho^{+^2}} = 2\theta^2 \left(-\frac{da}{d\rho} \right)_{\rho=0},\tag{22}
$$

with boundary conditions

 $\rho = 0$ $a = a^{+}$, $\rho = 1$ $da/d\rho = 0$, $\rho^+ = 0$ $a^+ = 1$, $\rho^+ = 1$ $da^+ / d\rho^+ = 0$. By applying the asymptotic method (5) ^{o In[A]o} to the Eqs. (21) and (22) , when the con- we obtain: centration of A drops to zero at the poreends, we obtain as generalized Thiele modulus :

Because is :

$$
\frac{\partial \Omega''}{\partial \ln[\mathbf{A}]_0} = \frac{3}{4} \Omega'' - \frac{d \xi}{d \ln b_0} \text{ with } \xi \equiv \frac{\Omega''}{m^{3/2}},
$$

= 0,
= 0.

$$
\frac{\partial b_0}{\partial \ln[\mathbf{A}]_0} = -b_0,
$$
 (27)

$$
n''_{A,\text{app}} = 1 + \left(\frac{3}{4}\Omega'' - \frac{d\xi}{d\ln b_0}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \Omega''}\right)_{b_0}
$$

$$
\Omega'' = \frac{\gamma^{1/2} m^{3/2} \theta b_0}{\left[\left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3} \right)^{3/2} - \frac{3}{5\gamma} \left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3} \right)^{5/2} + \frac{3(b_0 - \gamma)^{5/2}}{5\gamma} \right]^{1/2}},\tag{23}
$$

and the effectiveness factor $\eta'' \equiv - (da^*/$ $d\rho^{\dagger}$, ρ^{\dagger} , $\frac{1}{2} m^2 \theta^2 b_0$, in terms of Ω'' becomes:

$$
-\left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \ln b_0}\right)_{\Omega''}
$$
 (28)

$$
\eta'' = \frac{\gamma^{2/3}b_0^{1/3}(-da^+/d\rho^+)_{\rho^+=0}}{2\left[\left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3}\right)^{3/2} - \frac{3}{5\gamma}\left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3}\right)^{5/2} + \frac{3(b_0 - \gamma)^{5/2}}{5\gamma}\right]^{2/3}\theta^{2/3}\Omega''^{4/3}}.
$$
(24)

Values of the effectiveness factor, calculated by the computer, are presented in Table 2. Also in this type of reaction, the functions effectiveness factor vs. generalized Thiele modulus follow very closely the functions, above given, concerning the first order reaction and the greatest difference for the examined values of b_0 and $\theta(\gamma = 1)$ is about 6% in the intermediate region between chemical and strong pore diffusion regimes.

Apparent kinetics. The apparent activation energy of process, $E''_{\text{app}} \equiv -[\partial \ln \phi''/$ $\partial(1/R_g \ T)$] where $\phi'' = K \ \eta''[A]_0[B]_0$ is given by:

$$
\frac{E''_{\text{app}}}{E_r} = 1 + \frac{3}{4} \left(1 - \frac{E_d}{E_r} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \ln \Omega''} \right)_{b_0} \quad (25)
$$

if equal diffusion activation energies of reactants are assumed $(E_d = E_{d,A} = E_{d,B})$. The apparent order of process with respect to A, $n''_{A,\text{app}} \equiv \partial \ln \phi''/\partial \ln[A]_0$, results :

$$
n''_{A,\text{app}} = 1 + \left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \ln[A]_{\circ}}\right)_{\text{B}_\text{b}}
$$

= 1 + $\left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \Omega''}\right)_{b_0} \frac{\partial \Omega''}{\partial \ln[A]_0}$
+ $\left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial b_0}\right)_{\Omega''} \frac{\partial b_0}{\partial \ln[A]_0}$. (26)

The apparent order of process with respect to B, $n''_{B,app} \equiv \partial \ln \phi''/\partial \ln [B]_0$, results:

$$
n''_{\text{B,app}} = 1 + \frac{d\xi}{d \ln b_0} \left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \Omega''} \right)_{b_0}
$$

$$
+ \left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \ln b_0} \right)_{\Omega''} \qquad (29)
$$

From Eqs. (28) and (29), the overall apparent order of process $n_{o,app} \equiv n''_{A,app} +$ $n''_{\text{B,app}}$, is given by:

$$
n_{\text{o,app}} = 2 + \frac{3}{4} \left(\frac{\partial \ln \eta''}{\partial \ln \Omega''} \right)_{b_0}.
$$
 (30)

By substituting $\frac{3}{4}$ ($\partial \ln \eta''/\partial \ln \Omega''$)_{bo} into Eq. (25)) the general relation between $n_{\text{o,app}}$, (E''_{app}/E_r) , (E_d/E_r) is obtained:

$$
n_{\text{o,app}} = 2 + \left(\frac{E''_{\text{app}}}{E_r} - 1\right) / \left(1 - \frac{E_d}{E_r}\right) \tag{31}
$$

It is worthy to be remarked that the Eqs. (25) , (30) , and (31) , concerning the apparent activation energy and overall order of process, are quite alike the corresponding Eqs. (13) , (14) , and (15) . A similar behavior may be derived also for the singlepore model.

In strong pore diffusion regimes, when $(\partial \ln \eta''/\partial \Omega'') = -(1/\Omega'')$ and $(\partial \ln \eta''/$

Generalized Thiele modulus	Effectiveness factor							
	$b_0 = 2$			$b_0 = 5$				
	$\theta = 50$	$\theta = 100$	$\theta = 500$	$\theta = 50$	$\theta = 100$	$\theta = 500$		
0.05	0.883	0.834	0.667	0.896	0.847	0.687		
0.1	0.763	0.684	0.478	0.782	0.703	0.493		
0.2	0.591	0.501	0.315	0.612	0.517	0.323		
0.3	0.485	0.399	0.242	0.501	0.412	0.247		
0.5	0.362	0.292	0.173	0.372	0.300	0.176		
1	0.233	0.186	0.109	0.238	0.189	0.111		
1.5	0.178	0.142	0.084	0.182	0.145	0.085		
$\overline{2}$	0.147	0.119	0.069	0.151	0.119	0.070		
3	0.113	0.091	0.053	0.115	0.091	0.053		
$\overline{5}$	0.080	0.064	0.038	0.082	0.065	0.038		

TABLE 2 EFFECTIVENESS FACTOR VS. GENERALIZED THIELE MODULUS FOR THE REACTION $A + B \rightarrow$ Products WITH $\gamma = 1$

 $\partial \ln b_0$ $\omega = 0$, the apparent reaction order pore catalyst model. The system of differ-
with respect to A results: ential equations deduced as material bal-

ential equations deduced as material bal-

$$
n''_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{app}} = \frac{5}{4} - \frac{3b_0 \left[\left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3} \right)^{3/2} + \frac{(b_0 - \gamma)^{3/2}}{\gamma} \right]}{4 \left[\left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3} \right)^{3/2} - \frac{3}{5\gamma} \left(b_0 - \frac{\gamma}{3} \right)^{5/2} + \frac{3(b_0 - \gamma)^{5/2}}{5\gamma} \right]} \tag{32}
$$

Because the overall apparent order of process is from Eq. (30) :

$$
n_{\text{o,app}} = \frac{5}{4},\tag{33}
$$

the apparent order of process with respect to B may be obtained from Eqs. (32) and (33) by:

$$
n''_{B,app} = \frac{5}{4} - n''_{A,app.} \tag{34}
$$

Eqs. (32) and (33) may be compared with the corresponding Equations in the singlepore model which are:

Apparent order of process with respect to A

$$
=\frac{3}{2}-\frac{b_0}{2[b_0-(\gamma/3)]},\quad(35)
$$

and

Overall apparent order of process = $\frac{3}{2}$. (36)

SUMMARY

Isothermal second order reactions of the type $vA \rightarrow$ products and $A + B \rightarrow$ products have been investigated in the macro-microances in micropore and macropore has been solved by a digital computer and values of effectiveness factor have been reported against a generalized Thiele modulus, the use of which tends to approximately bring together the curves effectiveness factor vs. Thiele modulus for first and second order reactions. Equations concerning the falsification of activation energy and order of process under diffusion effect conditions have been presented.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are indebted to Italian Consiglio Nazionale delle ricerche for financial aid.

REFERENCES

- 1. THIELE, E. W., Ind. Eng. Chem. 31, 916 (1939).
- 2. WEEKMAN, V. W., AND GORRINQ, R. L., J. Catal. $4, 260$ (1965).
- 3. TINKLER, J. D., AND METZNER, A. B., Ind. Eng. Chem. 53, 663 (1961).
- 4. CARBERRY, J. J., $AIChE$ J. 7, 350 (1961).
- 6. PETERSEN, E. E., Chem. Eng. Sci. 17, 987 (1962).
- 6. MAYMO', J. A., AND CUNNINQHAM, R. E., $J.$ Catal. $6, 186$ (1966).
- 7. ROBERTS, G. W., AND SATTERFIELD, C. N., Znd. Eng. Chem., Fundam. 5, 317 (1966).
- 8. MINGLE, J. O., AND SMITH, J. M., AIChE J. 7, 243 (1961).
- 9. CARBERRY, J. J., $AIChE$ J. 8, 557 (1962).
- 10. CARBERRY, J. J., Chem. Eng. Sci. 17, 675 (1962).
- 11. TARTARELLI, R., AND MORELLI, F., Ann. Chim. (Rome) 57,1316 (1967).
- 12. TARTARELLI, R., CAPOVANI, M., AND MORELLI, F., Ann. Chim. (Rome) 58, 1059 (1968).
- 13. TARTARELLI, R., Chim. Ind. (Milan) 49, 620 (1967).
- 14. TARTARELLI, R., Chim. Ind. (Milan) 50, 556 (1968) .
- 15. TARTARELLI, R., AND MORELLI, F., J. Catal. 11, 159 (1968).
- 16. TARTARELLI, R., AND CAPOVANI, M., Chim. Ind. (Milan) 50, 1318 (1968).
- 17. WHEELER, A., Advan. Catal. Relat. Subj. 3, 250 (1951).
- 18. TARTARELLI, R., MORELLI, F., AND CAPOVANI, M., Can. J. Chem. Eng. 47, 201 (1969).